Agenda Item 8

Committee: Planning Applications

Date: 12th November 2015

:

Wards: All

Subject: Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Sustainable Communities

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

Contact officer: Stuart Humphryes

Recommendation:

That Members note the contents of the report.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.
- 1.2 The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report, but can be seen on the Council web-site with the other agenda papers for this meeting at the following link:

http://www.merton.gov.uk/council/committee.htm?view=committee&com_id=165

DETAILS

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000085000/1000085317/14P2600_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:	14/P3935
Site:	190 Merton High Street, South Wimbledon SW19 1AX
Development:	Display of 2 x fascia signs
Recommendation:	Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision:	DISMISSED
Date of Appeal Decision:	30 th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000086000/1000086587/14P3935_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:
Site:
Development:
Recommendation:
Appeal Decision:
Date of Appeal Decision:

14/P4280 Flat 2, 62 Home Park Road SW19 7HN Creation of 2 x first floor juliette balconies to front elevation Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision) DISMISSED 29th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000086000/1000086913/14P4280_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:
Site:
Development:
Recommendation:
Appeal Decision:
Date of Appeal Decision:

14/P4401

35 London Road, Morden, Surrey, SM4 5HT Erection of 3 storey building comprising 2 x 1 bed flats Refuse Permission *(Delegated Decision)* **DISMISSED** 1st October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000087000/1000087031/14P4401_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:	15/P0117
Site:	184 Effra Road, South Wimbledon, London, SW19 8QA
Development:	Conversion of house into 2 x flats with single storey side extension and external staircase
Recommendation:	Refuse Permission <i>(Delegated Decision)</i>
Appeal Decision:	DISMISSED
Date of Appeal Decision:	2 nd November 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000087000/1000087441/15P0117_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:	15/P0382
Site:	64, Graham Road, Wimbledon SW19 3SS
Development:	Erection of rear roof extension and single storey rear
	extension
Recommendation:	Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision:	DISMISSED
Date of Appeal Decision:	16 th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000087000/1000087696/15P0382_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: Site: Development: Recommendation: Appeal Decision: Date of Appeal Decision:

15/P1061

9 Western Road, Mitcham, Merton CR4 3ED
 Display of 4 x 48 sheet hoarding advertisements
 Refuse Permission (*Delegated Decision*)
 DISMISSED 30th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000088000/1000088351/15P1061_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number: Site: Development: Recommendation: Appeal Decision: Date of Appeal Decision:

15/P1063

216 Rowan Road, Streatham, London SW16 5HX Display of 2 x 48 sheet hoarding advertisements Refuse Permission *(Delegated Decision)* **ALLOWED** 30th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000088000/1000088352/15P1063_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:15/P1538Site:85 Gladstone RoaDevelopment:Erection of frontRecommendation:Refuse PermissionAppeal Decision:DISMISSEDDate of Appeal Decision:20th October 2015

15/P1538 85 Gladstone Road, Wimbledon, London, SW19 1QR Erection of front and rear roof extensions Refuse Permission *(Delegated Decision)* **DISMISSED** 20th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/10000888000/1000088803/15P1538_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf

Application Number:	15/P1661
Site:	52 Griffiths Road, Wimbledon, London, SW19 1ST
Development:	Erection of rear roof extension and single storey rear extension
Recommendation:	Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision:	DISMISSED
Date of Appeal Decision:	20 th October 2015

Link to Appeal Decision

http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000088000/1000088924/15P1661_Appeal%20Decision%20notice.pdf

Alternative options

3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is re-determined.

- 3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -
 - 1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
 - 2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

1 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

1.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

2 TIMETABLE

2.1. N/A

3 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

3.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

4 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

4.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

5 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

5.1. None for the purposes of this report.

6 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. See 6.1 above.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.

This page is intentionally left blank